# ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

Hon'ble Justice Soumitra Pal, Hon'ble Mr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Hon'ble Chairman & Administrative Member.

Case No. MA 199 of 2019 and MA 200 of 2019 (OA 775 of 2016). SUSMITA CHAKRABORTY - VERSUS- THE STATE OF W.B. & OTHERS.

|   | Office action with date   |
|---|---|
| Order of the Tribunal with signature<br>2                               | and dated signature   |
|   | of parties when necessary<br>3  |
| For the Applicant : Mrs. S. Mitra,                                      |   |
| Advocate.   |   |
|   |   |
| For the State Respondent : Mr. S. Ghosh,                                |   |
| Advocate.   |   |
| The application, being MA 199 of 2019, has                              |   |
| been filed for condoning the delay in filing application                |   |
| MA 200 of 2019, arising out of OA 755 of 2016, for                      |   |
| recalling/ modifying/ clarifying the order dated 17 <sup>th</sup> July, |   |
| 2019 passed in OA 775 of 2016.  |   |
| Perused the application for condoning the                               |   |
| delay. We find sufficient ground has been made out for                  |   |
| condoning the delay in moving the application in MA 200                 |   |
| of 2019. Therefore, the delay of nineteen days in moving                |   |
| the application, being MA 199 of 2019, is condoned. The                 |   |
| application allowed.  |   |
| The application, MA 200 of 2019, has been                               |   |
| filed praying for recall of the order dated $17^{th}$ July, 2019        |   |
| which is as under :-  |   |
| "In this application the applicant has                                  |   |
| challenged the order of transfer dated 29 <sup>th</sup> June, 2016      |   |
| transferring her from Birkulti to Uttar Dinajpur.                       |   |
| Mr. G.P. Banerjee, learned advocate for the                             |   |
|   | For the Applicant: Mrs. S. Mitra,<br>Advocate.For the State Respondent: Mr. S. Ghosh,<br>Advocate.The application, being MA 199 of 2019, has<br>been filed for condoning the delay in filing application<br>MA 200 of 2019, arising out of OA 755 of 2016, for<br>recalling/ modifying/ clarifying the order dated 17 <sup>th</sup> July,<br>2019 passed in OA 775 of 2016.<br> |

## **ORDER SHEET**

SUSMITA CHAKRABORTY.

#### Vs.

THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

#### Case No. MA 199 of 2019 and MA 200 of 2019 (OA 775 of 2016).

| Serial No. and | of 2019 and MA 200 of 2019 (OA 775 of 2016).<br>Order of the Tribunal with signature | Office action with date     |
|----------------|--|-----------------------------|
| Date of order. | 2  | and dated signature         |
| 1              |  | of parties when necessary 3 |
|                | applicant submits that though the applicant has filed the                            |                             |
|                | application challenging the order of transfer, however,                              |                             |
|                | she has joined the transferred post which is not disputed                            |                             |
|                | by Mr. S. Ghosh, learned advocate for the State                                      |                             |
|                | respondent. Mr. Banerjee submits that though the                                     |                             |
|                | applicant has joined the transferred post as she is facing                           |                             |
|                | difficulty, direction may be issued upon the respondent                              |                             |
|                | authorities to grant liberty to file representation                                  |                             |
|                | ventilating her grievances and to get transferred.                                   |                             |
|                | Having heard Mr. Banerjee and Mr. Halder,  |                             |
|                | learned advocates for the parties and considering the fact                           |                             |
|                | that since the applicant has joined the transferred post,                            |                             |
|                | no order is passed on this application. The application is                           |                             |
|                | disposed of. However, as prayed for, the applicant is at                             |                             |
|                | liberty to file representation ventilating her grievances                            |                             |
|                | regarding transfer before the Director of Health Services                            |                             |
|                | and Ex-officio Secretary, West Bengal, Kolkata – the                                 |                             |
|                | respondent no. 2 within eight weeks from the date of                                 |                             |
|                | obtaining a copy of this order. If such representation is                            |                             |
|                | filed, the respondent no. 2 shall dispose of the same by                             |                             |
|                | passing a reasoned order to be communicated to the                                   |                             |
|                | parties within twelve weeks thereafter, after giving an                              |                             |
|                | opportunity of hearing".   |                             |

Form No.

## **ORDER SHEET**

Form No.

#### SUSMITA CHAKRABORTY.

#### Vs.

## THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

#### Case No. MA 199 of 2019 and MA 200 of 2019 (OA 775 of 2016).

| Serial No. and<br>Date of order.<br>1 | Order of the Tribunal with signature<br>2                             | Office action with date<br>and dated signature<br>of parties when necessary<br>3 |
|---------------------------------------|---|--|
|                                       | It is submitted by Mrs. S. Mitra, learned                             |  |
|                                       | advocate for the applicant that on instruction from her               |  |
|                                       | client, who is present in Court today, that as no such                |  |
|                                       | instruction was issued to Mr. G.P. Banerjee her erstwhile             |  |
|                                       | advocate, as recorded in the order dated 17 <sup>th</sup> July, 2019, |  |
|                                       | the said order may be recalled.                                       |  |
|                                       | Mr. G.P. Banerjee, who is present Court                               |  |
|                                       | submits that he had appeared on behalf of the applicant               |  |
|                                       | and made submission on the basis of the instruction from              |  |
|                                       | his client, that is Susmita Chakraborty, the applicant.               |  |
|                                       | Mr. S. Ghosh, learned advocate for the State                          |  |
|                                       | respondent submits that since order was passed on the                 |  |
|                                       | basis of the submission of Mr. Banerjee which was on the              |  |
|                                       | basis of instruction from his client, the instant application         |  |
|                                       | is frivolous.   |  |
|                                       | Heard Mrs. Mitra and Mr. Ghosh. Since we                              |  |
|                                       | find that Mr. Banerjee had made submission on the basis               |  |
|                                       | of instruction and on that basis order was passed, no                 |  |
|                                       | order is passed on the application. The application is                |  |
|                                       | dismissed.  |  |
|                                       |   |  |
|                                       | (P. Ramesh kumar)(Soumitra Pal)Member (A).Chairman.                   |  |
| Skg.                                  |   |  |

## **ORDER SHEET**

SUSMITA CHAKRABORTY.

#### Vs.

THE STATE OF W.B. & ORS.

## Case No. MA 199 of 2019 and MA 200 of 2019 (OA 775 of 2016).

| Serial No. and<br>Date of order. | Order of the Tribunal with signature<br>2 | Office action with date<br>and dated signature<br>of parties when necessary |
|----------------------------------|---|---|
| L                                |   | 3   |

Form No.